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Abstract

Small group teaching is used frequently in medical education to provide an opportunity for performance based instruction in problem-solving, communication, and team work. Principles used to help medical students learn to assess and manage their patients may be integrated into small groups for formative education. This article describes an application of a successful model of human simulation based interaction (standardized patients) to a clinical development program in a problem-based learning environment. Evidence of institutions using this methodology will be included in the discussion assessing the scope and practical application. Description of both faculty facilitator and learner roles in small group experiential learning settings with standardized patients will be provided, as well as a brief discussion of assessment techniques using both formative and summative feedback. This method uses scenarios derived from real case examples that are structured to provide common challenges. It uses trained standardized patients to portray patients and to give live and immediate feedback to learners. Live learner simulations give participants a true experiential learning opportunity in a classroom without risk of harming real patients. A realistic practice scenario allows learners to practice and receive valuable feedback from other learners, faculty and standardized patients. This article will describe one application of this method in medical education to teach students patient-centered communication.
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Resumo

Ensino em pequenos grupos é usado com frequência na educação médica para oferecer uma oportunidade de instrução baseada no desempenho da resolução de problemas, comunicação e trabalho de equipe. Princípios usados para ajudar estudantes de medicina a aprender a avaliar e gerir os seus pacientes podem ser integrados em pequenos grupos para a educação formativa. Este artigo descreve uma aplicação de um modelo bem sucedido de interação baseado em simulação humana (pacientes padronizados) para um programa de desenvolvimento clínico de uma aprendizagem baseada em problemas. Evidências de instituições que utilizam essa metodologia será incluída na discussão com apreciação do âmbito e de sua aplicação prática. Descrição dos papéis de ambos (facilitador e aluno) no contexto de aprendizagem experiencial em pequenos grupos com pacientes padronizados serão fornecidas, bem como uma breve discussão sobre as técnicas de avaliação usando ambos feedback formativo e somativo. Este método utiliza cenários derivados de casos reais que são estruturados para proporcionar desafios comumente encontrados. Utiliza-se de pacientes padronizados treinados para retratar pacientes e dar imediato feedback aos estudantes. Aprendizado com simulação ao vivo dá aos participantes uma verdadeira oportunidade de aprendizagem experiencial na sala de aula, sem risco de prejudicar pacientes reais. Um cenário de prática realista permite que os aprendizes pratiquem e recebam um valioso feedback de outros alunos, professores e pacientes padronizados. Este artigo descreve uma aplicação deste método no ensino médico para ensinar aos alunos a comunicação centrada no paciente.
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Introduction

The use of standardized patients (SPs) in Medical Education is a methodology that since its inception in the late 1970’s has changed the face of clinical education. Standardized patients are individuals trained to portray patients based on actual cases developed by clinical faculty. After training, a single case may be performed by multiple standardized patients over multiple iterations with accuracy, so that students will essentially see the “same patient.” Another method of using standardized patients is to train single standardized patients on separate cases to be performed numerous times, as in a clinical skills examination (CSE). The CSE is sometimes referred to as an OSCE or objective structured clinical examination. This performance based method of standardize patients is used in clinical skills teaching in small groups as well as in one-to-one formative and summative assessments. In small group settings learners can observe and practice needed capabilities. In order to learn and retain communication skills, they need to be practiced with repetitions in a systematic way.

Practice can improve learning in several ways. Through systematic repetition students will permanently remember new information by transferring it into their knowledge base. Practice also improves the students’ application of knowledge automatically without reflection, allowing students’ cognitive resources to handle more challenging tasks such as diagnosis development. SPs may often be seen as more credible than learners’ classmates when providing feedback on interpersonal skills. Using the same SP for multiple interviews can demonstrate how different an interview can go based on the questions of the interviewer. Even though clinical skills may be best learned in a clinical setting, preparing the learner by challenging them with simulated clinical settings can allow practice for some of the more basic skills such as critical thinking, problem-solving, physical assessment, active listening, patient-centered communication and team building prior to needing to apply them in a clinical environment.

According to Palmer (2007) in The Courage to Teach, some problems associated with leading effective small groups include the teacher lecturing or generally talking too much, the hesitancy of students to participate in group discussion and students expecting to receive the solution rather than discussing and creating solutions independently of faculty providing the answer. The combined experience of utilizing faculty facilitation and standardized patients in a small group setting may be daunting to novice facilitators or to facilitators who have not used the SP in the small group. This article describes a process for...
facilitating a small group that has a standardized patient presented to it as a medical case. The use of SPs can help bring problem-based learning to a realistic application and help facilitate the learning of interpersonal skills through practice and feedback.

Development

The goal for any encounter using a standardized patient (SP) is to improve or test communication skills of an individual or a team through performance and feedback. It is suggested that when developing protocols for use of SPs in a small group, several considerations be made. First, the size of the small group should be limited to a number which can allow each student to be an interviewer over the course of several simulations. Group size of 6-8 students is manageable and allows room for all students to participate either in a single setting or over time. For example, if two students are allowed to interview for each individual case and the small group is limited to six students; three standardized patient cases would be used during the course to give each student an opportunity to interview the patient. Group size is an institutional decision and has a great deal to do with class size and number of faculty.

To begin, it is important to find a common vocabulary for this type of simulation. According to the Association of Standardized Patient Educators, the international association for trainers, a standardized patient is “an individual hired and trained to portray the actual patient, presenting a faculty defined clinical scenario with patient history and physical symptoms for teaching and assessment purposes.”

Kenyon (2002) adds further definitions to human simulation scenarios. Student interviewers are those students in a class who are directly working with the SP. There may be multiple student interviewers during a small group session as will be explained later. Student observers are the members of the group who observe and take notes during the clinical encounter. Student observers provide feedback and offer suggestions to the student interviewers at the appropriate time. Facilitators are usually the instructors for the class, or, in a Problem Based Learning group, it may be a group member who is managing the clinical encounter. Facilitators provide guidance and feedback before and after the encounter but should avoid interjecting during the interview and small group process.

Case development

Clinical scenarios are best developed using an actual patient case. Using real cases provides the most realistic and therefore most effective cases. There are many resources for finding cases written for standardized patients. The Association of Standardized Patient Educators publishes several cases on their website for members of the association. Other examples of places to find cases include medical schools with a standardized patient program that may have case banks in-house and MedEdportal. A simple web search of “standardized patient cases” can locate numerous resources. However, if the course objectives require a specific scenario the following suggestions may help in the development of those cases. Each scenario consists of four basic parts: character protocols, presenting situation, an initial information page, and a checklist for providing feedback. The case scenario contains detailed information from the patient’s perspective such as who they are, including personal family history, appearance, affect, and internal thoughts or feelings. Case development should include the nature of their presenting condition and how it is affecting them, and what have they done (if anything) to address the condition prior to coming in to the clinic. It is important to include the standardized patient’s emotional state prior to the encounter and any emotional changes throughout the encounter if appropriate. For example, a patient may be quite anxious at the outset of the encounter, but relax and be more forthcoming with an empathetic interviewer. The case materials for the standardized patient would not include any information that a patient would not know themselves. Any clinical information or facilitator guidelines would be in another section of the training materials.

Learning goals and objectives

Before selecting a scenario, learning objectives for the class should be determined. With a standardized patient involved, the learning goal might be “To improve investigative interviewing
skills” or “To practice interviewing a difficult pa-
tient.” The learning objectives for the class may
accompany the goals. For example, if the learning
goal is to improve investigative interviewing skills,
then the learning objectives might be: 1) to give
students the opportunity to practice using age
appropriate language, 2) to introduce issues of
cultural diversity and cultural competency, 3) to
provide practice applying clinical interviewing
steps.

The learning event

Preparation for the learning event includes
determining the group size, student level of ability
and time allowed for the event. Smaller groups
provide more opportunities for students to prac-
tice their skills and receive pertinent and specific
feedback. Orientation to the learning event would
include a description of the purpose of the event,
expectations, and procedures.

Allowing students to understand these con-
cepts can assuage their fears. Students often feel
anxious in front of their peers and their faculty.
A safe learning environment that allows and ack-
nowledges the benefits of making mistakes and
of positive feedback will reduce some of these
tensions. Observers who will be providing feed-
back need to be in close enough proximity to the
simulation to hear normal conversational tones
of the interview, but not so close as to interfere
in the simulation.

Format for the small group learning event

There are three basic formats for a small
group session involving standardized patients
in simulated interviews. Of course there may be
variations on these themes.

Format 1: One learner interviews at a time
and each subsequent interviewer begins the
interview where the preceding interviewer
stopped. This format provides flow and ga-
thering of a great deal of information.

Format 2: One learner begins the interview
from the beginning and subsequent inter-
viewers begin again at the beginning. This
format provides students an opportunity to
learn from the previous interviewers’ errors
and can improve the overall flow of the in-
terview. Less information will be gathered in
format 2 than in format 1; thus, format 2 is
generally reserved for assessment purposes.

Format 3: One learner completes the entire
interview with the standardized patient(s)
from beginning to end. Other students ob-
serve, discuss, and give feedback. This format
provides a more realistic flow to the interview,
but limits the engagement of the other stu-
dents in practicing the interview.

Facilitating the simulation

The facilitator has an active role during the
simulation. Facilitators are aware of the dynamics
between the interviewer and the SP in order to
mediate feedback between observers and inter-
viewers. They will identify and utilize teaching
opportunities without disrupting the interview,
addressing issues at the appropriate time. In
scenarios where feedback is desired from the
SPs, the facilitator will mediate this activity. Most
importantly, the facilitator will provide a safe or
non-threatening environment for all students in
which it is possible to make errors without fear
of ridicule or grading. For SPs it is critical that
corrective feedback be given by the facilitator
to the trainer after the encounter in order for
continuous quality improvement be made. Using
Time Out: When using standardized patients in
a small group setting, it is common for a time
out format to be utilized. The time out is taken
by the interviewer at a moment when they find
themselves out of further questions or directions
that the interview might take. It is important
that the student interviewer be the only one to
interrupt the interview with a time out in order
to allow them to be thoughtful and take pauses
as necessary, with the opportunity to seek help
from their classmates as needed. The class should
not call a time, nor the facilitator, unless there is
an obvious need for the interviewer to be inter-
rupted. Facilitators should remember that, in the
safe environment of the simulation, it is not only
expected, but also educational for the student
interviewer to make errors.

Time out periods allow learners to analyze,
reflect, and problem-solve. Feedback from the
class may be provided to the interviewer regard-
ing direction of subsequent questioning and
clarifying questions that the interviewer may
ask. The timeout provides an opportunity for hypothesis development, sharing observations and developing alternative strategies. The student interviewer may call for a time out at any moment and continue the interview. During a time out period, SPs should not engage with the interviewer or the class. This is a time for the SP to go in to repose and reflect on their portrayal and consider answers to questions that the learner may soon ask.

If formats 1 or 2 are used in the clinical simulation, student interviewers may switch during the time out. The facilitator may use the time out as an opportunity to probe the class for their thoughts on the clinical case at this point.

**Feedback**

SPs will not provide feedback to the student interviewer(s) until the conclusion of the simulation. The focus of the feedback may be mediated by the facilitator. SPs can give feedback on interpersonal and communication skills including the opening, questioning skills, active listening, body language, perceived bias, summarizing, facilitation of the interview by the student interviewer, client perspectives, pacing of the interview and closure. The SP should refrain from providing any feedback regarding the clinical nature of the presenting condition, or any information left out of the interview. This is best left to the facilitator after the feedback session is complete. Once the SP is finished with feedback, the facilitator may thank them and allow them to leave the small group.

**Debriefing the simulation**

Once the SP has left the room, the facilitator may want to debrief the simulation with the small group. Especially in emotionally charged scenarios, the class may need to decompress and analyze their feelings after the encounter. An opportunity for reflection often will allow participants to gain insight into their own biases, concerns, and future goals. For those learners it may be beneficial to re-visit the simulation at a subsequent class for a brief reflection. Facilitators may also want to provide feedback to the faculty on the ability of the scenario to fulfill the course objectives.7

In conclusion we presented an interactive activity that allows students to practice clinical interviewing skills in a safe environment, with the opportunity to receive both positive and constructive feedback from fellow classmates, faculty and standardized patients. The small group setting using standardized patients is efficient and feasible as essentially each small group sees the same “patient” in a controlled environment. Students may be provided clinical cases that may be of an emotionally charged nature, or a case that is non-existing in the clinical setting at the given moment. The opportunity for “Time Out” allows the learner to question classmates provoking critical thinking within the class and problem-solving as a group.
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